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The slides shown here are used by Dr. Frank in beginning lectures on research to students at the start of the Doctor of Professional Studies in Computing program.

Research is centerpiece to the D.P.S. Students are lead into their dissertation through a series seminars that starts in their first semester. The first seminar, DCS891A, examines the nature of scientific investigation, the different types of inquiry, and the processes of selecting a dissertation topic, conducting a review of the literature, and drafting a proposal.
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The October 2005 Technical Report will present a description and an assessment of the D.P.S. The assessment includes an evaluation of the emerging doctoral dissertations relative to the significance of the problems, the strength of their investigative methodology, and their readability.
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**Prelude to Research - 1**

**How Does Humanity Itself Learn?**

**RESEARCH**

**Ideas:**
- Better to get your own that YOU are interested in
- Can come from you advisor – last resort

**Criticism:**
- Experts (advisor) provide only opinion – Theirs
- **NOT A LAW OF NATURE**
- You must teach your committee and your advisor
- You know the work better than they do
Prelude to Research - 2

Tricks of the trade - 1

Notebooks:
- Wear underpants, shoes, and your notebook
- $25 pocket recorder in your pocket & at bedside

Experiment: Watch TV for 10 Minutes w/sound OFF
- Count scene changes / minute in old movie
- Count scene changes / minute in new adventure
- Your concentration has been rotted by TV
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Prelude to Research - 3

Tricks of the trade - 2

Conscious use of your unconscious

- Jacques Hadamard “The Psychology of Invention in the Mathematical Field” (~$9)
  Dover ISBN 0-486-20207-4
- Henri Poincare “Science and Method” (~$16)
  Dover ISBN 0-486-243269-6
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Prelude to Research - 4

Self Esteem - 1

Shopping Cart

- Middle aged women conceived of a folded pocketbook w/frame & wheels that becomes a shopping cart (city type).
- Family and friends ridiculed idea.
- 20 Years later it became a $million seller.

Prelude to Research - 5

Self Esteem - 2

Computer Mod of Sewing Patterns

- Middle aged women conceived of using a standard sewing pattern and computing the changes for a specific person – a computer home application in era of large mainframes.
- Family and friends ridiculed idea.
- 50 Years later it has it been done ??
**What Research is & is NOT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of Research</th>
<th>Characteristics of Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intensely personal activity</td>
<td>Teamwork allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discovery of new knowledge</td>
<td>Use of known knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Societal benefits</td>
<td>Benefits aimed at sponsor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication of discovery</td>
<td>Publication not necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative in nature</td>
<td>Derivative in nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know if it can be done</td>
<td>Know it can be done (P &gt; .5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public activity &amp; results results</td>
<td>Proprietary activity &amp;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**What Research Is NOT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of NOT Research</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loud Assertion w/o reference</td>
<td>Presentation of the Known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argument by Authority</td>
<td>Anybody’s Opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fact without reference</td>
<td>Reference without vetting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Search</td>
<td>Library “Research”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

(C) Ronald I. Frank 2003, 2004, 2005
Why We Do Research

1. Discover new knowledge
2. Solve meaningful problems
3. Answer questions worth knowing the answers to
4. Fulfill a vision
5. May be useful

- Lipman Bers’ quote
- Eugene Wigner’s quote
- Albert Einstein’s Razor

Lipman Bers’ quote

“There is an infinite number of true theorems. Work only on important ones.”

[Work on what looks like it will be “useful” elsewhere.]

Eugene Wigner’s quote

“The unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics.”

[Any mathematics is probably eventually useful.]

Albert Einstein’s Razor

Let beauty lead you.
4 Research Examples.

A. Three of these are NOT DPS-like
   1. They are Mathematical/Physical in nature
   2. DPS-like is often not mathematical but could involve some basic statistics or optimization

B. The fourth is DPS-like but not specific

C. The commonality is the difficulty defining the problem and finding the solution [50/50]

D. You will be getting more DPS-like examples below (2) and in the future in this Research course
4 Research Examples.

1. de Broglie’s Paragraph [Notice it in *Nature*]

2. My “Why IS That?” [Notice it in *Form*]


4. Web Services &/or XML Languages, “Applied Research”, often for application integration [Notice it in *Current Technology*]

---

4 Research Examples.

*But First A Reminder To Critics*

Das Ei Des Columbus - The Egg of Columbus

[I learned it in a Scientific German Course]

1. Columbus Returns & Reports

2. Committee of Great Men Reviews Results

3. “Trivial and Obvious”

   Go west and run into land – no big deal.

4. Columbus: “Make an egg stand on end.”

Notice the 18 years from 1905 to 1923 in the first example (de Broglie)
#1 de Broglie: One Paragraph Thesis

[Theoretical Physics]

(Translated from Comptes rendus, Vol. 177, 1923, pp. 507-510)

Let us consider a material moving object of rest mass $m_0$ moving with respect to a fixed observer with a speed $v = \beta c$ ($\beta < 1$). According to the principle of the inertia of energy, it should possess an internal energy equal to $m_0c^2$. On the other hand, the quantum principle suggests associating this internal energy with a simple periodic phenomenon of frequency $\nu$. Such that $\hbar \nu = m_0c^2$.

This is the wave nature of matter (has a frequency!)

$E = \hbar \nu$ [photoelectric effect] and $E = m_0c^2$ [relativity]

Einstein's Nobel Prize ↑. Both are by Einstein! in 1905.

Schrödinger did Wave Equation in 1925.

---

Prince Louis de Broglie

1. **He added some more analysis of what is the particle's wave frequency**

2. **He analyzed a light particle (slightly incorrectly) using basic relativity**

3. **He analyzed an electron in a circular orbit and showed the same results as Bohr & Sommerfeld (the Old Quantum Theory) and said he had derived optics of particles elsewhere – which he did**

4. **All in 4 pages [basic idea in 1st paragraph]**
RADIATION — Waves and Quanta

Note of Louis de Broglie, presented by Jean Perrin.
(Translated from Comptes rendus, Vol. 177, 1923, pp. 307-510)

Let us consider a material moving object of rest mass $m_0$ moving with respect to a fixed observer with a speed $v = \beta c$ ($\beta < 1$). According to the principle of the inertia of energy, it should possess an internal energy equal to $m_0c^2$. On the other hand, the quantum principle suggests associating this internal energy with a simple periodic phenomenon of frequency $\nu_0$ such that

$$\hbar \nu_0 = m_0c^2.$$ 

$c$ being, as usual, the limiting velocity of the theory of relativity and $\hbar$ Planck's constant.

Prince Louis de Broglie

1. He was **prepared** in QM & Relativity
2. He was free to be “stupid – clever”
3. It took Einstein’s intervention to get him his degree (faculty of Great Men thought it was nonsense)
4. It took years until experiment proved him right (electron optics).
5. **His** article 1923; Schrödinger’s Wave Equation 1925; his Nobel Prize 1929
#2 RIF (Me) [No Nobel Prize]

[Theoretical Computer Science]
I noticed a strange relationship for the N-D cube array of length n. [I have ABD in Math - NYU]

\[ T_n^n \quad n^N = ([n - 1] + 1)^N = \]

Cell Count

Binomial Expansion

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{N} \binom{N}{i} (n - 1)^i (1)^{N-i} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{N} \binom{N}{i} T_{n-1}^i \]

This relates arrays to the binomial theorem.

This is a new geometric truth about array decompositions.

ERA / NERA Representations

RED is new is mine.
Holds for NERAs too

\[ T_{1,2,3}^3 = (1 \times 2 \times 3) = 6 \]

\[ 6T_6^0 + 11T_6^1 + 6T_6^2 + T_6^3 = \]

\[ (1T_6^0 + T_6^1)(2T_6^0 + T_6^1)(3T_6^0 + T_6^1) \]
THE MASTER EQUATION
(Example)

2x3x4 Full Decomposition to Null Arrays

There are 24 scalar cells. [0-D]
There are 26 vectors: [1-D]
6 vectors front to back
12 vectors top to bottom
8 vectors right to left
There are 9 matrices (planes): [2-D]
2 horizontal planes top to bottom
3 vertical planes left to right
4 vertical planes front to back
There is one 3-D Entity. [3-D]
There are no higher D Entities. [4-D...]

\[ T^3_{(2, 3, 4)} = T^0_0 \cdot (24) + T^1_0 \cdot (26) + T^2_0 \cdot (9) + T^3_0 \cdot (1) \]
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RIF: A Good Topic Should Be Rich in Further Questions

1. What if \( N \) is negative or complex?
2. What if \( n \) is negative or complex?
3. Master equation allows subtraction of arrays - what's this mean? Annihilation!
4. Generalization to ragged arrays?
5. What about arrays of arrays [= set theory]?
6. What about \( n \)-continuous arrays and quantum computing? [Master equation does hold!]
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RIF: Work on what you know & like

0. I worked in APL development on and off for over 8 years.
1. I worked on this problem on and off for 10 other years.
2. I generalized it to N-D Bricks.
3. I found a master equation in null arrays (by recursion).
4. I developed an explicit algorithm for all sub arrays' cells.
5. I developed code in APL, C++, and Java.
6. I found potential applications in Hyper cube machine architectures and data mining OLAP.

#3 Malcolm Cohen – Problem

[Private Communication] [Applied Physiological Psychologist]

- Some A4s crash (randomly) for no apparent cause
- Catapult off carrier & go immediately into water

"Data"

- "Artificial Horizon" gauge problem was fixed
  [Therefore not the gauge]

Survey Data

- Artificial Horizon gauge not trusted
- Crashes only on moonless nights
  [Therefore no visual cues]
Malcolm Cohen's - Theory*

Douglas Skyhawk - (A4) has noted reliability
Pilots can't see outside and don't trust gauge
Some perception causes stick forward into water
It has to be a positional perception miscue

* Cohen, M. M., Croftte, R. J. & Blackburn, L. H.
Malcolm Cohen's - Solution

- Train pilots to understand incorrect perception
- Train pilots to trust artificial horizon gauge

Result
- No more crashes due to this cause

#4 Web Services &/or XML Languages

(NO LONGER Conjectural) [Applied Information Systems]

1. XML based.
2. Standards based.
3. “Applications” require defining general languages for new uses [language architecture == vocabulary].
4. Requires understanding of an application domain. Often used for app integration.
5. Limited window of opportunity for dissertation level work [2-3 years?].
#2 Current Dissertations

#1 Product Costing Framework

Best price subassemblies

1. WS / XML based – Industry Integration.
2. Standards based – some not yet in place
3. Needs many specific languages – some exist
4. Small restaurants as a real example
5. Dozens of DFDs and Use Case Diagrams
6. Very intricate NEW/USEFUL architecture
7. Literature search was global (UN a player)
#2 SARBOX Compliance Architecture

Enterprise Accounting / Auditing Integration

1. WS / XML based – Enterprise Integration.
2. Standards based – one new one
3. Needs one or two specific languages
4. Accounting Standards as a real driver
5. ~4/Ea. DFDs and Use Case Diagrams
6. Straight forward architecture
7. Literature search was US only
8. Virtual close is a side effect
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Commentary & Advice
IT'S BEST TO WORK IN AN AREA YOU ALREADY KNOW AND LIKE OR WILL HAVE FUN LEARNING

Plan for reading / learning equivalent to 9 graduate credits (in one year)

Literature search is NOT Research! Research is CREATIVE!
HAVE FUN OR YOU PROBABLY WON'T FINISH

Have a Vision

• It does not exist (show this)
• It can exist (argue this)
• It should exist (argue this)
• Make it exist (do this)
  • Feasibility is enough
Prepare for Failures and False Starts Along the Way

- Cape Canaveral, was Cape Kennedy
- was Cape Canaveral
- which was called “Cape Carnival”

Because of all of the early spectacular failures.

A GOOD TOPIC SHOULD BE RICH IN FURTHER QUESTIONS

SO

YOU CAN CONTINUE PUBLISHING

IF YOU WANT TO
You don't "get an idea" and just start writing.

You start writing and get ideas!

Your real problem is to find how you can create added value by solving a problem.

Being able to state a topic or an idea does not mean that you know what you are talking about - or better - that you are talking about what you know.
About Dissertation Questions / Problems

1. Finding them is $\frac{1}{2}$ the effort
   But more than $\frac{1}{2}$ the emotional pain
2. The answer is $\frac{1}{2}$ the effort
   But more than $\frac{1}{2}$ the fun
3. Writing and production is $\frac{1}{2}$ the effort
   But most of the real pain

Previous Questions / Problems

1. Where do babies come from?
2. Where does blood come from?
3. What are stars?
4. Why are stars?
   - 25 WHYs and you get to GOD
5. Why is the sky blue?
6. How can legacy systems be turned into a Web Service?
Einstein: About Research

"If we knew what it was we are doing, it would not be called research." *

* Quoted in Scientific American September 2002 Vol. 287 No. 3 (a special issue on Time) in the Antigravity column by Steve Minsky. "Einstein's Hot Time" pg. 152.
Doing a dissertation is itself a project: apply your project management skills.

• Lay out a Gantt chart (back of the envelope)
• Do a work breakdown structure
• Constantly monitor actual against baseline
• Do an earned value analysis
  • (value added vs time spent)

• Don't estimate your time to completion based on your writing schedule.
• Research time has to be factored in.

Writing about your own contribution is not a matter of just describing an idea.

It is a matter of proving it or showing how to do it.

Ideas are ~$0.10/dozen.

Usefully articulated and proven ideas are not common.

Implemented ideas are rare.

Accepted ideas are extremely rare.
It is up to YOU to find a problem.

It is up to YOU to convince your advisor and committee that it is worth doing and worth THEIR time.

It is up to YOU to convince your advisor and committee that it is done.

It is up to YOU to convince your advisor and committee it is worthy.

We are here to help – not to do.

If you can’t find a problem, we will make suggestions, but you choose.

Learn from your advisors but don’t worship them.

It is up to YOU to do the work.
It is up to YOU to **schedule**.

It is up to YOU **control** the process: i.e., initiate meetings, set goals, set criteria.

It is up to YOU to **find help** if needed.

It is up to YOU to recognize hand-waving bull.... from insightful analysis.

If you spent a lifetime being sloppy, we can’t cure you. Repent oh you sinners!

---

**Warning About “DATA”**

Many uses mean SURVEY DATA

1. A few DPS dissertations use surveys
2. Most DPS dissertations do not
3. “DATA” might mean relevant examples
4. “DATA” might mean similar systems
5. “DATA” might mean previous work
6. “DATA” might mean environmental vars

---

(C) Ronald I. Frank 2003, 2004, 2005
From a Typical Social Science Research Methods Book*

[May not apply to your DPS]

1. A question is posed.
2. It's a matter of words.
3. It's a worth a guess!
4. The search is on!
5. The search leads nowhere.
6. Data! Hard data! And nothing but the data!
7. What do the data say?
8. The data speak!
9. It's either...or
10. And, the hypotheses?


What is Research? V.18. (C) Ronald I. Frank 2003, 4, 5

1. Define the Question or Problem
2. Define Over-All Goal
   - Subdivide to N parts
     a. Posit solution part i
     b. Find solution part i
   - Repeat for all i in N
3. Evaluate Total Solution vs. Goal
   - Might find new problems facets
   - If Satisfied — Submit Thesis
4. Else Go to 1 using new insights

(C) Ronald I. Frank 2003, 2004, 2005
Notice that this is very like iterative refinement in software development. Beware the **ANXIETY BLOCK** in getting started. Just write something to start and “Plan to Throw One Away” as Brooks says in Chapter 11, MMM.

**JUST DO IT.**

Do something, anything – it doesn’t matter. Don’t think, do. Once started, correct your mistakes.

---

Once Started:
Beware **AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOR**

**Procrastination** is well known. BUT **Substitution** is more insidious: There are always more important or more pressing activities to substitute for your dissertation work.

**JUST DO IT.**

A little bit of continuous progress doesn’t interfere with life. YOUR life includes your dissertation.
Proposal Outline [Not Unique][Short]
1. The Problem and its context [= Literature Search]
   1.1 Statement of the problem
      1.1.1 List of sub problems
   1.2 Problem context [Include USE CASES / DFDs]
   1.3 Limitations of scope
   1.4 Definition of terminology
   1.5 Importance of the work
   1.6 The relevant literature and background [see 4 below]
2 Proposed Solution (components and schedule)
   2.1 Solution description [= Your "value add"]
   2.2 Methodology and Work Breakdown Structure
      2.2.1 Repeat for each sub problem
   2.3 Initial schedule [Baseline for planning & monitoring]
3 The Researcher [YOU] (qualifications and background)
   3.1 What preparation does researcher already have
   3.2 What new knowledge or skills are required
4 Bibliography [Reference EVERY assertion you made]
5 Appendixes (supporting peripheral detail)

I. Bernard Cohen: About Your Dissertation
[He Had Many Many Dissertation Students]
[Science and Mathematics Historian]
[First American Doctorate in the History of Science]

"Don’t get it perfect; get it done." *

* Quoted in a memorial article by one of his students: "I. Bernard Cohen (1914-2003)" By Judith V. Gubiner in the MAA Focus Vol. 23 # 6, August/September 2003 pg. 24
Production of a Thesis

Production of Text 1/2

1. BUY Strunk & White, (& Chicago Manual of Style), a good NEW Dictionary, and a good NEW Thesaurus (CD version is OK, even better)

2. Get your tools early, learn, and use them all along.
   • Word and PowerPoint [the Pace Dissertation Format]
   • Endnote (bibliography) [the Pace Dissertation Format]
   • Visio (DFDs, Use Cases, UML, ERDs)
   • PIM (for scheduling meetings and To Do's)
   • Browser
   • Acrobat WRITER (for mandatory final version)
   • H/S Data Connection (comm to committee & libraries)
   • .NET/C#/.Java/XML/VB [if implementing] [MSDNAA]
**Production of Text 2/2**

1. Have a really good machine, OS, software, and printer set up BEFORE you start. Keep it stable.
   * **YOU and only YOU own, control, and use it.**
2. Backup often ALL files (set of 3 generation sets).
   * Keep at lest one set off-site, best is 2
3. Get a BIG Thumb drive for moving copies to Pace
4. Try out 24 lb. bond on your printer early
   * Many cheaper printers can’t hack it
5. Learn how to change ink & fix jams

---

**Production of Final Artifact**

1. Be prepared to print a lot
   * Electronic files don’t get read
2. The final hand-in can take weeks
   * AFTER you are done.
3. The mandatory PDF of a your large .doc with many diagrams, figures, and other imbeds can take an hour + to run – don’t cut it off. [It can crash.]
Appendix 1
Research Paper Outline

Research Paper Outline 1/4
(For Reporting On Someone Else's Paper OR For Your Own)

1. Header
   - Topic
   - Title
   - Author(s)/Institution

2. Executive Introduction
   - "Tell 'em what you're going to tell 'em"
     - What will be the central contribution
     - Where does this fit into the Universe (context)?

3. Problem Statement
   - "Tell 'em"
     - Use Cases
   • "Tell 'em"

5. Solution to Stated Problem
   • "Tell 'em"
     • What is the central contribution
     • Use Case

6. Methods Employed in Solution
   • "Tell 'em"

7. Results & Conclusion
   • "Tell 'em"

8. Future Direction
   • "Tell 'em"

9. Summary
   • "Tell 'em what you told 'em"
     • What was the central contribution

10. References
    A. Every major statement or assertion needs proof or reference
       1. Peer reviewed journals
       2. Textbooks & Theses (especially recent versions)
       3. May use URLs but with land based source addresses
          [Indicate date YOU last accessed it]

    B. Your opinion must be clearly delineated as such and is weak
10. **References (cont.)**
   
   C. Your assertion without proof is useless and not accepted
   
   D. Commercial products and consultancies are weak to useless
      
      • Except for proof of current state of the Business

11. **Appendices**
Date: October 28, 2005
From: Prof. Frank
To: DPS Research Seminar
Subject: Maintain a Dissertation Notebook
Reference: The Real World [Don’t do this & look foolish]

Buy A Bound (NO METAL SPIRAL S) Computation or Lab Notebook (~$12.00) that has a left margin set off, quadrille paper, and pagination.

This is THE ONLY PLACE ON EARTH THAT YOU WRITE, DIAGRAM, OR DOODLE ABOUT YOUR Dissertation.

This is not a class notebook. It is not a personal diary. It is not used for ANYTHING else. It is not your dissertation, only a place to put ideas, thoughts and data.

Every page is sequentially numbered. Each new topic or coding project starts with a line across the whole page and a date in the left margin with a short descriptive name in the margin or at top. Never remove pages!!!

You can make margin notes to keep track of the structure of the notes. For example, use circles & dates for TO-DO items. Cross them out when they are completed. If you need to, you can generate an index of topics and dates on the last few pages as you go along. This helps if you are jumping topics a lot.

Advisor and other meeting notes go in here, as do phone notes & #s and IDs of colleagues. No page-width line is put in until the start of the next topic. Interpolated sections from other topics are marked by a vertical mark in the margin, a blank area, and the name of the topic. Paper is cheap.
You can scotch tape in small listings, or other documents from outside sources. There should be no other paper you use to write on - ever. Only IMPORTANT email (decision agreements) get pasted in. Write under your paste-ins what they are incase they fall out.

Put your name, advisor's name, start date and leave a space for end date on the front outside. [You may generate more than one notebook.]

Put your address, phone number and email ID on the inside front cover so that when you lose it, the finder can contact you for pickup. Near your info, put a polite request to the finder to return your notebook - it can help. Label the book "Personal and Confidential" just to emphasize how you view it. Offer a small reward for its return.

If you have a patentable idea, document it in great detail with tutorial comments and immediately get (or leave space for quickly getting) two colleagues to read and understand the idea.

Then have them write, sign, and date a statement that they have read the idea and have understood it.

This is why the book must be bound, every page numbered, and every item dated - so that there can be no question about the idea pages being inserted at a later date.

You too can win a patent law suit for billions of dollars [lasers] based solely on this kind of documentation of a good idea.

All pocket-recorded daily notes get put in THAT NIGHT if not immediately.
Appendix 3
The Rest of the de Broglie Paper

For the fixed observer, the frequency $\nu = \frac{m_0 c^2}{h \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}}$ corresponds to the total energy of the moving object. But, if this fixed observer observes the internal periodic phenomenon of the moving object, he will see it slowed down and will attribute to it a frequency $\nu_1 = \nu_0 \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$: for him this phenomenon varies therefore like

$$\sin 2\pi \frac{\nu_1}{c}$$

Now let us suppose that at the time $t = 0$ the moving object coincides in space with a wave of frequency $\nu$ defined above and propagating in the same direction as it does with the speed $\frac{c}{\beta}$. This wave, which has a speed greater than $c$, cannot correspond to

---

Concerning the present note, see Brillouin, Comptes rendus, Vol. 168, 1919, p. 1318.
transport of energy; we will only consider it as a fictitious wave associated with the motion of the object.

I maintain that, if at the time \( t = 0 \), there is phase agreement between the vectors of the wave and the internal phenomenon of the object, this phase agreement will be maintained. In effect, at time \( t \) the object is at a distance from the origin equal to \( vt = x \); its internal motion is then represented by \( \sin 2\pi v_1 \frac{z}{c} \).

The wave, at this point, is represented by

\[
\sin 2\pi v \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{c} \right) - \sin 2\pi v_1 \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{c} \right). 
\]

The two sines are equal and the phase agreement is realized if one has

\[ v = v_1 (1 - \beta^2), \]

a condition that is clearly satisfied by the definitions of \( v \) and \( v_1 \).

The demonstration of this important result rests uniquely on the principle of special relativity and on the correctness of the quantum relationship as much for the fixed observer as for the moving observer.
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Let us apply this to an atom of light. I showed elsewhere\(^2\) that the atom of light should be considered as a moving object of very small mass (\( < 10^{-23} \) g) that moves with a speed very nearly equal to \( c \) (although slightly less). We come therefore to the following conclusion: The atom of light, which is equivalent by reason of its total energy to a radiation of frequency \( v \), is the seat of an internal periodic phenomenon that, seen by the fixed observer, has at each point of space the same phase as a wave of frequency \( v \), propagating in the same direction with a speed very nearly equal (although very slightly greater) to the constant called the speed of light.

\(^2\) See *Journal de Physique*, 6-th series, Vol. 3, 1922, p. 422.
Let us consider now the case of an electron describing a closed trajectory with uniform speed slightly less than \( c \). At time \( t = 0 \), the object is at point \( O \). The associated fictitious wave, launched from the point \( O \) and describing the entire trajectory with the speed \( \frac{c}{\beta} \), catches up with the electron at time \( \tau \) at a point \( O' \) such that 
\[
\overrightarrow{OO'} = \beta c \tau.
\]
One has then that
\[
\tau = \frac{\hat{\beta}}{c} \left[ \beta c (\tau \cdot T_r) \right] \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\beta^2}{1 - \beta^2} T_r,
\]
where \( T_r \) is the period of revolution of the electron in its orbit. The internal phase of the electron, when the electron goes from \( O \) to \( O' \), has a variation of
\[
2\pi \nu \tau - 2\pi \frac{m_0 c^2}{h} \frac{T_r}{1 - \beta^2}.
\]

It is almost necessary to suppose that the trajectory of the electron will be stable only if the fictitious wave passing \( O' \) catches up with the electron in phase with it: the wave of frequency \( \nu \) and speed \( \frac{c}{\beta} \) has to be in resonance over the length of the trajectory. This leads to the condition
\[
\frac{m_0 \beta^2 c^2}{\nu (1 - \beta^2)} T_r = n h \quad , \quad n \text{ being integer}.
\]

Let us show that this stability condition happens to be that of the Bohr and Sommerfeld theories for a trajectory described by a constant speed. Let us call \( p_y, p_x, p_z \) the momenta of the electron along three rectangular axes. The general condition for stability formulated by Einstein is in effect.
\[ \int_0^{T_f} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} - p_x \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} - p_y \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} \right) dt = n\hbar \]

where, in the present case, can be written

\[ \int_0^{T_f} \frac{m_0}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} \left( v_x^2 - v_y^2 - v_z^2 \right) dt = \frac{m_0\beta^2c^2}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} T_f - n\hbar . \]

as above.

In the case of an electron turning in a circular orbit of radius \( R \) with an angular velocity \( \omega \), one finds again for sufficiently small speeds the original formula of Bohr:

\[ m_0\omega R^2 = n \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} . \]

If the speed varies along the length of the trajectory, one finds again the Bohr-Einstein formula if \( \beta \) is small. If \( \beta \) assumes large values, the question becomes more complicated and necessitates a special examination.

---

Pursuing research along these lines we have reached important results, which will be communicated soon. We are as of today able to explain the phenomena of diffraction and of interference taking into account the quantization of light.

---

3 The case of quasi-periodic motion does not present any new difficulty. The necessity of satisfying the condition stated in the text for an infinity of pseudo-periods leads to the conditions of Sommerfeld.
Appendix 4
NERA Master Equation
[Applied to the (2x3x4) Array]

\[ T^N_{(n_1, n_2, n_3, \cdots, n_{N-1}, n_N)} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \sum [((n_{i_1}) \times (n_{i_2}) \times \cdots \times (n_{i_{N-j+1}})) \times (n_{i_{N-j}})] \]

\[ T^3_{(2, 3, 4)} = T^0_0 (24) + T^1_0 (26) + T^2_0 (9) + T^3_0 (1) \]

\[ (24 = 2 \times 3 \times 4) \quad (26 = 2 \times 3 + 2 \times 4 + 3 \times 4) \quad (9 = 2 + 3 + 4) \]

\[ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1, \quad C_3^3 \quad \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 3, \quad C_2^3 \quad \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = 3, \quad C_1^3 \]
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